Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,078	PORTER, C. BRADLEY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	

All Participants:

(1) *Fred Prince*.

(2) *Terry Watt*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *15 August 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
US Pat No 5,133,854 to Horvath.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed amending claim 1 to clarify that the intake aperture is located at an upper side portion of the skimmer body in order to patentably distinguish the instant invention over the prior art. A summer of the agreed upon changes is provided in the attached examiner's amendment. .